Dear Jim, 1/27/75

When by mid-afternoon the dentist did not call me back I called him only to find that he had had to leave. It is fairly close to time for me to go for Lil. If I do not hear from the dentist before I go for Lil, I'll mail this then. (The hygienist thinks there is no emergency.) If I hear from him this evening, and if he tells me to come in tomorrow despite the weather forecast, of course I'll be calling you before you receive this.

I have not yet read my letter to Limpert, Washingtonian. I enclose it in the event I can't before having to leave. (The mail was heavy today.)

I have tried to phone Lonnie and the man who put me in touch with him. Both are out. I did leave word for Lonnie. The tape you have can tell you what suspicion I'm interested in. I find no ready (other) explanation for Goulden's piece.

Has it occurred to you that by the time Goulden wrote his Tonkin Gulf book there were those, like CIA, who were in agreement? And he cites no sounces for his documentation that I am not ready to believe say the State Department gave him? Or that his Oswald-agent writing did not go into CIA allegations h ut did on FBI?

Perhaps this means nothing, but I do remind you.

And that Tad Szulc is a fellow contributing editor to Washingtonian, if I remember correctly.

Small world?

Larry just phoned. CDN gave his story a banner. They are pleased, too. It was not, as Larry said, in vogue two years ago when I gave him the story.

They had originally decided to call me "a source," then decided that wasn't too good, so on the theory that both sides count they decided to call me "a source i n the intelligence community." He had had time to tell me only that Skolnick had phoned yesterday to bestow his approval when he got a call-back from Baker. I told him to take it.

He will phone me tonight if I've had to leave, that is, before he calls back.

He will check with WTOP on what R-TV Reports told them a year ago.

I asked him to ask Baker (staffer) whether in going over the CIA files they kept a list of domestic stuff and if so if we are on it.

At this point you phoned.

Not only can I not remember any big deal about any southern paper not sening me clippings of any kind, I can't remember any reason to expect any such paper to do so. Nor can I imagine any emphasis or importance I gave to anything like this to Goulden when he was here. It sounds like something from someone else or something out of context. The whole thing isn't worth taking time to listen to three hours of tapes. His, that is. Fortunately, I have them. With his label, so I'd have his address.

Do you think we should talk to Tom Snyder about me? Others have suggested it to me but I haven't taken the time or given it serious thought. I did not hear/see Hardesty and as I told you, when I'm not sleeping past 4-4:30, I'm not about to stay up for a show that begins at 1 a.m. Topical as CIA is and with what I have on the State's surveillance on Ray and me, some time after the decision maybe it would not be a bad idea.

The Supreme Court's refusal to grant cert to the State means that on your first real case tou established a new principle of law. Let me be the first....